

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | ICATION NO. FILING DATE |                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/756,581 01/08/2001 |                         | 01/08/2001              | Craig Mowry          | P/2293-12           | 5628             |  |
| 2352                  | 7590                    | 06/19/2006              |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|                       |                         | ER GERB & SOHE AMERICAS | DURAN, ARTHUR D      |                     |                  |  |
| NEW YORK              |                         | 00368403                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |

3622 DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |  | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  |  | 09/756,581   | :   | MOWRY, CRAIG   |              |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Examiner   |   | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Arthur Duran   |   | 3622   |              |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | ication appe   | ars on the cover she   | et with the co  | orrespondence ad   | ldress       |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE OF THE MINISTRATE | AILING DAT<br>of 37 CFR 1.136<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will<br>will, by statute, c | TE OF THIS COMM!  (a). In no event, however, m  apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to become | UNICATION hay a reply be time ) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED | ely filed<br>he mailing date of this c<br>) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | d on <i>22 Ma</i>  | y 2006.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| • —  | •  |  | ction is non-final.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3)□  |  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| ,  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4) 又   | Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-42,44-60,62,64-75</u>  | ,77-88,90-1°   | 11,113 and 114 is/ar   | re pending in   | the application.   |              |  |  |  |
| -  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 5)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-42,44-60,62,64-75,77-88,90-111,113 and 114</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 7)   | <u>/ _                                   </u>  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | tion and/or  | election requirement   | t.  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the  | e Examiner.  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  | a) accep   | oted or b)□ objected   | d to by the E   | xaminer.   |              |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any object  | ction to the dr  | awing(s) be held in ab   | eyance. See   | 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |              |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   | the correctio  | n is required if the draw  | wing(s) is obje   | ected to. See 37 Cl  | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to   | by the Exa   | miner. Note the atta   | ched Office   | Action or form P1  | ΓΟ-152.      |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| ,—   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority   | documents<br>documents   | have been received<br>have been received   | in Applicatio   | on No  |              |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | application from the Internatio  |  | •  |   | 4  |              |  |  |  |
| * 8  | See the attached detailed Office actio   | n for a list of  | r tne certified copies   | not received  | J.   |              |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  |  | view Summary (  |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or  |  | _  | r No(s)/Mail Dat<br>e of Informal Pa                        | te<br>atent Application (PT0                                   | O-152)       |  |  |  |
|  | r No(s)/Mail Date  | · ==   | Other:   |   |  |              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3622

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13, 15-42, 44-60, 62, 64-75, 77-88, 90-111, 113 and 114 have been examined.

## Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 5/22/06 is insufficient to overcome the prior rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 11, 13, 15-36, 39-42, 44-49, 51-53, 56-59, 62, 64-67, 70-75, 77-86, 90-97, 100, 101, 104-111, 113, 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (2004/0261127) in view of Gerszberg (2003/0142664).

Claims 1-8, 11, 13-36, 39-49, 51-53, 56-59, 61-67, 70-86, 89-97, 100, 101, 104-114:

Freeman (2004/0261127) discloses users being provided full and interactive participation in a live broadcast event where the users can utilize the Internet or websites to affect the live broadcast and also that the content of the live broadcast affects the content on the website (Abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 6; Fig. 7).

Freeman further discloses that the user can enter responses related to the live broadcast and receive feedback concerning the user response (Paragraph [0005]).

Art Unit: 3622

Freeman further discloses that information from the websites can be incorporated into the broadcast:

"Further, information obtained from related Web sites can be integrated into the <u>live program</u>" (Abstract).

Freeman further discloses content related user selectable responses:

"These television systems provide a common video signal accompanied by several synchronized audio channels to provide <u>content</u> related user selectable responses" ([4]).

Freeman further discloses changing content presented based on responses and/or profile:

"Selections of the video, audio, graphics display and/or Web pages can be made as a function of immediate viewer entries, or to interrogatory responses presented at the beginning or during the program, or based on a prestored viewer <u>profile</u>. Once a decision is made to switch from one video option to another video option, the digital switch is performed seamlessly" ([14]).

Freeman further discloses adjusting content based on profile ([16]).

Freeman further discloses a variety of programming and dynamically adjusting programming based on different factors:

"[0018] The present invention also has applications for other types of programming. For example, viewers can direct the scenes of a murder mystery. Switching from one scene to another can be done seamlessly without noticeable effect on the viewer. Further, the present invention can be used for any kind of <u>live</u> or pre-recorded event. For instance, a music concert or a political convention can be enhanced in the manner of the invention.

Art Unit: 3622

[0019] Accordingly, a primary objective of this invention is providing an enhanced digital live program allowing the display to be tailored to the user's desires, choices or interests.

[0115] The trigger points 500 correspond to the times when the conventional program content can be altered and personalized for the viewers. The programmer can place the trigger points 500 at any time throughout the program. Since the trigger points 500 are unknown to the subscriber, the subscriber does not know when they will receive a personalized message.

[124] Preferably, the URLs, like the various audio and graphics options, have associated time stamps which indicate to the remote digital set top boxes 25 when, during the video program, to display the particular Web pages addressed by the URLs, the selection and display of which is preferably made as a function of viewer responses or viewer profile".

Freeman further discloses e-commerce purchasing related to the content that is presented:

"[0127] In the present invention, the viewer also has the capability to link to a channel website at will. For example, if a viewer is interested in <u>purchasing</u> a product described in an advertisement, by merely clicking on a button on their remote 20, the producer's Website could be accessed by Internet connection 160 and displayed to the viewer. The viewer could then either obtain more information about the product or order the product, if desired. As described above, this application is possible by sending the URL associated with the producer's Website to the digital cable boxes 25 as part of the interactive program. Upon selection by the viewer, the web browser, located either in the digital set-top box 25 or externally in a connected PC 610, can retrieve the Web pages. The specialized software then synchronizes the Web pages for video display".

Art Unit: 3622

Freeman discloses utilizing profile(s)/preferences/demographics to affect presented content including advertising presented ([137], [138], [168]).

Freeman further discloses targeted advertising ([168]).

Freeman further discloses presenting games related to broadcast or as a broadcast and also games and points for games with rewards ([8], ([147],[153]).

Freeman discloses the user/viewer(s) affecting content and content outcomes ([169] and below):

"[Claim] 16. The method of claim 14, wherein the step of gathering viewer specific information comprises the steps of: displaying at least one interrogatory to the viewer, the content of the interrogatory involving program options; collecting entries from the viewer in response to the interrogatories; and wherein the selection of video or audio signals is based in part on the collected viewer entries.

[0121] User selections corresponding to answers to the n successive interrogatory messages are received by the remote interface 270 at the beginning of the show, stored in memory 265 and used throughout the show at the appropriate trigger points 500 to subtlety change program content as the show progresses".

Freeman does not explicitly disclose an electronic catalog.

However, Gerszberg discloses interactive television, product catalogs related to the content presented, ([70]) tracking user profile and preference information and presenting content of interest to the user ([14]; [35]; Fig. 17; [57]; [58]; [126]).

Art Unit: 3622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Gerzberg's electronic catalog and tailored content to Freeman's providing product purchasing opportunities related to content and tailored content. One would have been motivated to do this in order to provide better purchasing opportunities for items of interest to the user.

Also, in regards to claim 11, Freeman discloses billing the users ([168]).

In regards to claim 15, Freeman does not explicitly disclose that the user can select broadcast participants. However, Freeman discloses that user input can affect the content broadcast. Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that Freeman's affected broadcast content can include the broadcast options or broadcast participants. One would be motivated to do this in order to present a way of interest for the user to interact with the programming.

Additionally, Freeman discloses that the users are participants in the game or broadcast (see the citations from the rejection above and also the below citations):

"The present invention relates to an interactive digital system enabling viewers full and active <u>participation</u> in experiencing a live broadcast event. (Abstract)

[0018] The present invention also has applications for other types of programming. For example, viewers can direct the scenes of a <u>murder mystery</u>. Switching from one scene to another can be done seamlessly without noticeable effect on the viewer. Further, the present invention can be used for any kind of live or pre-recorded event. For instance, a music concert or a political convention can be enhanced in the manner of the invention.

[0169] While the present invention has been described primarily with respect to live events, and in particular sporting events, it has equal potential for enhancing content in other program categories. A viewer can become their own director of a <u>murder mystery</u> or other drama. By entering responses to displayed questions at the initiation of or during the show, the program will branch to alternative video/audio segments as a result of the user selections.

Art Unit: 3622

In this manner, different viewers with different selections may end up with a different murderer at the conclusion of the broadcast.

[0147] The viewing experience can be further enlightening for the viewer by implementing games and contests during the live sporting event 10. For example, graphics overlays can be developed that query the viewer during the game. During a football broadcast, for example, viewers can be queried with such interrogatories as the following:

[0148] What will be the next play? (RUN/PASS/KICK);

[0149] Will the offense get the first down?;

[0150] Will they score on this possession?;

[0151] Pick the halftime score;

[0152] Who will win?

[0153] Each viewer's responses can be sent back to the <u>control</u> studio 5 for tabulation of scores. Preferably, the responses are packaged at the digital cable box 25 and transmitted to the <u>control</u> studio 5 via the digital backchannel upon the UPLOAD EXTENDED command. Alternatively, tabulation of scores can take place at the digital cable box 25 through the utilization of certain software in memory 265. Each correct answer can correspond to a certain number of points. At the end of the <u>game</u>, the interactive program preferably presents a graphic showing the viewer point total. If desired, advertisers could present special gift certificates for excellent performance in such <u>games</u>. The provision of such certificates would occur by displaying a certain code that a viewer can take to a store to receive the gift. In this manner, viewer interests in sports events can be enhanced."

Particularly note that in citation [169] above that the user can participate in the broadcast and change the outcome of the broadcast.

4. Claims 9, 10, 50, 60, 68, 69, 98, 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (2004/0261127) in view of Gerszberg (2003/0142664) in view of Spiegel (6,466,918).

Art Unit: 3622

In regards to claims 50, 60, 98, 99, Freeman does not explicitly disclose utilizing chat.

In regards to claims 9, 10, 68, 69, Freeman does not explicitly disclose utilizing auctions.

Page 8

However, Spiegel discloses utilizing interactive television, an e-commerce catalog, chat, and auctions (col 1, lines 15-35; col 2, lines 1-5; col 9, lines 40-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Spiegel's advanced features for interacting with a website or interactive television to Freeman's interactive television, user communications, and purchasing. One would have been motivated to do this in order to allow the user more interactivity with the interactive broadcasts.

5. Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (2004/0261127) in view of Gerszberg (2003/0142664) in view of Quinlan (2004/0215514).

In regards to claim 12, Freeman does not explicitly disclose selling marketing data.

However, Quinlan discloses that selling marketing data is a profitable business ([11]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Freeman can sell the marketing/profile/preference/demographic data concerning users. One would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a way to increase revenue utilizing information that is available.

6. Claims 37, 38, 87, 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (2004/0261127) in view of Gerszberg (2003/0142664) in view of Robbins (5,784,095).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,581 Page 9

Art Unit: 3622

In regards to claims 37, 38, 87, 88, Freeman does not explicitly disclose archiving. However, Robbins discloses archiving, archiving content presented, and archiving electronic catalogs presented (col 3, lines 40-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Robbins archiving to Freeman's presenting a variety of content. One would have been motivated to do this in order to allow the user to access content of interest that has been broadcast priorly.

7. Claims 54, 55, 102, 103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (2004/0261127) in view of Gerszberg (2003/0142664) in view of Pease (5,855,515).

In regards to claims 54, 55, 102, 103, Freeman does not explicitly disclose hierarchical games.

However, Pease discloses hierarchical games and interactive television (col 1, line 65-col 2, line 20; col 11, lines 5-25)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Pease's advanced gaming features to Freeman's gaming. One would have been motivated to do this in order to present games of more interest to the user.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 15-42, 44-60, 62, 64-75, 77-88, 90-111, 113 and 114 have been considered but are not found to be persuasive.

Art Unit: 3622

On page 18 of the Applicants Remarks/Arguments dated 5/22/2006, Applicant states that "users in Freeman do not function as 'television broadcast participants'. . .in other words, in Freeman, the users are <u>not</u> the players".

However, Examiner notes that it is the Applicant's claims as stated in the Applicant's claims that are being rejected with the prior art. Also, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). And, Examiner notes that claims are given their broadest reasonable construction. See *In re Hyatt*, 211 F.3d 1367, 54 USPQ2d 1664 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

And, Applicant's claims do not state that the users are the players. And, more importantly, Applicant's claims leave open a variety of ways in which the Internet/web site users can be participants or participate in the broadcast.

And, Freeman discloses that the users are participants in the game or broadcast (see the citations from the rejection above and also the below citations):

"The present invention relates to an interactive digital system enabling viewers full and active participation in experiencing a live broadcast event. (Abstract)

[0018] The present invention also has applications for other types of programming. For example, viewers can direct the scenes of a <u>murder mystery</u>. Switching from one scene to another can be done seamlessly without noticeable effect on the viewer. Further, the present invention can be used for any kind of live or pre-recorded event. For instance, a music concert or a political convention can be enhanced in the manner of the invention.

[0169] While the present invention has been described primarily with respect to live events, and in particular sporting events, it has equal potential for enhancing content in other program categories. A viewer can become their own director of a <u>murder mystery</u> or other drama. By entering responses to displayed questions at the initiation of or during the show, the program will branch to alternative video/audio segments as a result of the user selections.

Art Unit: 3622

In this manner, different viewers with different selections may end up with a different murderer at the conclusion of the broadcast.

[0147] The viewing experience can be further enlightening for the viewer by implementing games and contests during the live sporting event 10. For example, graphics overlays can be developed that query the viewer during the game. During a football broadcast, for example, viewers can be queried with such interrogatories as the following:

[0148] What will be the next play? (RUN/PASS/KICK);

[0149] Will the offense get the first down?;

[0150] Will they score on this possession?;

[0151] Pick the halftime score;

[0152] Who will win?

[0153] Each viewer's responses can be sent back to the <u>control</u> studio 5 for tabulation of scores. Preferably, the responses are packaged at the digital cable box 25 and transmitted to the <u>control</u> studio 5 via the digital backchannel upon the UPLOAD EXTENDED command. Alternatively, tabulation of scores can take place at the digital cable box 25 through the utilization of certain software in memory 265. Each correct answer can correspond to a certain number of points. At the end of the <u>game</u>, the interactive program preferably presents a graphic showing the viewer point total. If desired, advertisers could present special gift certificates for excellent performance in such <u>games</u>. The provision of such certificates would occur by displaying a certain code that a viewer can take to a store to receive the gift. In this manner, viewer interests in sports events can be enhanced."

Particularly note that in citation [169] above that the user can participate in the broadcast and change the outcome of the broadcast.

Also, in regards to claim 31, the amendments added on 5/22/2006, state that the user of the Internet site is a participant in the television program, and that at least on of the participants in the program is featured visibly and audibly. Hence, the user who participates in the television program via the Internet site does not have to be the same user who is featured visibly and

audibly in the program. That is, there can be program participants who are featured visibly and audibly in the program and program participants who are participate via an Internet site but are not necessarily featured visibly and audibly in the program. The citations preceding and the citations in the rejection above disclose these features of program participants who are featured visibly and audibly in the program and program participants who are participate via an Internet site but are not necessarily featured visibly and audibly in the program.

Hence, the combination of the prior art renders obvious the claimed features of the Applicant's claims.

Also, Examiner notes that while specific references were made to the prior art, it is actually also the prior art in its entirety and the combination of the prior art in its entirety that is being referred to. Also, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

### Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a) Perkowski (6,625,581) discloses WebTV (40, 50), Television (71), match product offerings with profile (38), and electronic product offering catalogs;
  - b) Junkin (6,193,610) discloses interactive television and websites;
  - c) Scott (6,338,094) discloses interactive television and websites.

Art Unit: 3622

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arthur Duran
Primary Examiner

6/12/2006